ORIGINAL PAPER

M. von Korff · H. Wang · J. Léon · K. Pillen

Development of candidate introgression lines using an exotic barley accession (*Hordeum vulgare* ssp. *spontaneum***) as donor**

Received: 17 May 2004 / Accepted: 1 September 2004 / Published online: 16 October 2004 © Springer-Verlag 2004

Abstract In the present paper, we report on the selection of two sets of candidate introgression lines (pre-ILs) in spring barley. Two BC₂DH populations, S42 and T42, were generated by introgressing an accession of Hordeum vulgare ssp. spontaneum (ISR42-8, from Israel) into two different spring barley cultivars, Scarlett (S) and Thuringia (T). From these BC_2DH populations two sets with 49 (S42) and 43 (T42) pre-ILs were selected, and their genomic architecture as revealed by SSR marker analysis was characterised. The selected pre-ILs cover at least 98.1% (S42) and 93.0% (T42) of the exotic genome in overlapping introgressions and contain on average 2 (S42) and 1.5 (T42) additional non-target introgressions. In order to illustrate a potential application and validation of these pre-ILs, the phenotypic effect of the exotic introgression at the locus of the major photoperiod response gene Ppd-H1 was analysed. Pre-ILs carrying the introgression at the Ppd-H1 locus flowered significantly earlier than the elite parents, and the introgression maintained its effect across the two genetic backgrounds and across four tested environments. The selected pre-ILs represent a first promising step towards the assessment and utilization of genetic variation present in exotic barley. They may promote the breeding progress, serve for the verification of OTL effects and provide a valuable resource for the unravelling of gene function, e.g. by expression profiling or map-based cloning.

M. von Korff · H. Wang · J. Léon · K. Pillen (⊠) Department of Crop Science and Plant Breeding, University of Bonn, Katzenburgweg 5, 53115 Bonn, Germany E-mail: K.Pillen@uni-bonn.de Fax: +49-228-732045

Introduction

Most agronomic traits in crop plants are modulated by a large number of gene variants, which interact in complex and not easily predictable ways. Over the past decade, the application of molecular markers and genetic linkage maps has allowed the identification of specific regions of the genome controlling polygenic traits, called quantitative trait loci (QTLs), in all of the major crop species. Eshed and Zamir (1995) noted, however, that the considerable advances in the methodologies of mapping quantitative genes have not been matched by a parallel development of suitable population structures for precise QTL localisation, partly because the generation of genetic material requires a substantial effort. The key to the analysis of genes behind agronomically important traits is nevertheless plant genetic resources. In Arabidopsis, concerted efforts have led to the establishment of a number of valuable resources, i.e. mutant plant collections or panels of substitution lines. Given the limited synteny of Arabidopsis and crops, it is important to establish similar resources in crops in order to advance the understanding of the function of genes with agronomic relevance. It is important to, thus, identify the nature of functional variation in genes, which exist in the germplasm pools of crop species. Zamir (2001) proposed capitalizing on the genetic diversity in exotic germplasm and use it for breeding as well as gene discovery. To overcome problems associated with considerable phenotypic variation and linkage drag in interspecific crosses, Eshed and Zamir (1994) proposed modifying population structure. They established introgression lines (ILs) by systematic backcrossing and introgression of marker-defined exotic segments in the background of elite varieties. These ILs are a useful tool with which to address a number of questions. They enable the phenotypic analysis of specific QTL, offering a common genetic background in which direct comparison of two lines can be used to evaluate the phenotype conditioned by a single introgressed exotic segment (Tanksley et al.

Communicated by G. Wenzel

1996). ILs facilitate fine mapping of QTLs, because the location of a QTL can be narrowed to a smaller genomic interval by evaluating a series of ILs that differ for overlapping regions of the genome (Paterson et al. 1990). The resolution and statistical power of QTL mapping is increased, because excluding extra genetic factors reduces phenotypic variation. Furthermore, ILs are useful for breeding purposes, as they contain a low percentage of exotic germplasm and favourable exotic alleles can thus be easily and rapidly isolated and transferred into the elite varieties. Finally, these ILs provide a valuable resource for the unravelling of gene function by expression profiling or map-based cloning.

Several sets of introgression lines have already been developed for various crops, like tomato (Young and Tanksley 1989; Chetelat and Meglic 2000; Eshed and Zamir 1995; Bernacchi et al. 1998; Monforte and Tanksley 2000), rice (Lin et al. 1998), lettuce (Jeuken and Lindhout 2004) and wheat (Pestova et al. 2001). Pillen et al. (2003, 2004) and Matus et al. (2003) analysed agronomic performance of exotic alleles in advanced backcross populations of barley. However, the systematic selection of ILs for barley providing a complete coverage of the exotic genome has not been described yet.

In the present paper, we report on the development of two sets of candidate lines for barley ILs (pre-ILs) by introgressing exotic segments from the exotic barley accession ISR42-8 (Hordeum vulgare ssp. spontaneum) into two spring barley genetic backgrounds (cultivars Scarlett and Thuringia). Our goal is to establish two sets of pre-ILs, which represent the entirety of the exotic genome with overlapping donor segments of different sizes and contain a low number of additional exotic segments. First, segregation pattern and recovery rate of the recurrent parent genome as revealed by molecular marker fingerprinting using SSRs are analysed for two generated BC₂DH populations. Subsequently, two selected sets of pre-ILs are presented and characterised. In order to illustrate a potential application and validation of these pre-Ils, they are used to evaluate the phenotypic effect of an exotic introgression at a specific genomic region known to carry a candidate gene for the trait days until heading (Hea). The selected chromosomal region includes the major photoperiod response gene, *Ppd-H1*, which was mapped on the short arm of chromosome 2H by Laurie et al. (1994). The mean phenotypic performance of the pre-ILs carrying an exotic introgression at the Ppd-H1 locus was compared to that of the elite parents as control.

Materials and methods

Plant materials

The development of two BC_2DH populations was conducted according to the advanced backcross strategy of Tanksley and Nelson (1996). An exotic accession of *H. vulgare* ssp. *spontaneum* from Israel (ISR42-8) was

crossed with two spring barley cultivars (H. vulgare ssp. vulgare). The German spring barley cultivars Scarlett and Thuringia were selected as high-performing malting varieties and obtained from the breeders Saatzucht Josef Breun GdbR and Saaten-Zentrum Schöndorf. The wild barley accession, ISR42-8, from Eastern Lower Galilee, Israel, was provided by Prof. G. Fischbeck, Weihenstephan. The recurrent parents, Thuringia and Scarlett, were used as the female and the donor as the male parent to generate the F_1 generation. A single F_1 plant (maternal) was backcrossed to the respective cultivars (paternal). From each initial cross 12 BC_1F_1 plants were backcrossed a second time with the two cultivars. Two BC₂DH populations for convenience designated as S42 (derived from the cross Scarlett \times ISR42-8) and T42 (derived from the cross Thuringia \times ISR42-8) were developed by anther culture (in the lab of the Saaten-Union Resistenzlabor, Leopoldshöhe, Germany). The two BC₂DH populations counted 301 and 84 BC₂DH lines derived from 76 (S42)

Molecular characterisation

and 43 (T42) BC_2F_1 plants, respectively.

The DNA of the BC_2DH lines was extracted from foliage of 10- to 14-day-old seedlings grown in a greenhouse. DNA from parental and BC_2DH lines was isolated according to the protocol described by Saghai Maroof et al. (1984).

A total of 220 SSR markers were screened for polymorphism between the parent pairs. The primer sequence information was primarily taken from the following published sources: Becker and Heun (1995), Liu et al. (1996), Russell et al. (1997), Struss and Plieske (1998), Ramsay et al. (2000), Pillen et al. (2000) and Thiel et al. (2003). One hundred polymorphic SSR markers were selected for genotyping, which consistently yielded PCR products, produced minimal stutter bands and were fairly evenly distributed over the chromosomes.

The PCR amplification was performed in 20-µl final volume reactions containing 5 μ l template DNA (ca. 50 ng), 0.1 µl Taq polymerase (5 U/µl, Promega, Mannheim, Germany), 1.5 µl 10× PCR-buffer [500 mM KCl, 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 9.0), 1% Triton X-100], 1.5 µl 25 mM MgCl₂, 0.75 µl dNTP (2 mM) and 0.075 µl of the forward and reverse oligonucleotide primers (10 μ M). Each forward primer was tailed by adding the M13 universal forward primer sequence at the 5' end and 0.5 μ (1 μ M) of the M13 universal forward primer was added to the reaction. The M13 primer was labelled with either IRD700 or IRD800 at the 5' end for visualization, and a LI-COR DNA Sequencer 4200 (LI-COR, Bad Homburg) was used as an automated DNA detection device. The amplification profile started with ten cycles of denaturing at 94°C for 1 min, annealing at 64–55°C (touch-down PCR) for 1 min and extension for 1 min at 72°C, followed by 30 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, 1 min at 55°C and 1 min at 72°C, with a final extension step at 72°C for 5 min.

Linkage map construction

Eleven new SSR markers (MGB) derived from EST sequences were assigned to chromosomes on the basis of results from barley wheat addition assays (Islam et al. 1981). Linkage between the MGB markers was estimated in an F_2 population derived from the cross Thuringia × ISR42-8 or in the Oregon Wolfe Barleys population [(OWB) Costa et al. 2001], using the MAP-MAKER software (Lander et al. 1987). The MGB-primer sequences are available upon request and will be published soon (M. von Korff et al., submitted).

Simple sequence repeat markers from different mapping studies were integrated, and their relative order was inferred with the program MapManager QTX (Manly et al. 2001), which allows for linkage mapping in advanced backcross populations. Linkage was calculated on the basis of: (1) the genotype data of the two BC_2DH populations, (2) on a priori chromosome assignments derived from barley wheat addition line assays or from published sources and (3) on fixed marker order taken from Ramsay et al. (2000). The linear order was established with the command make linkage groups and verified with the commands ripple and distribute. The Kosambi mapping function was applied for map distance calculation. The sequence order of markers, whose relative position could not be resolved with the BC_2DH populations due to a lack of recombination, was estimated after linkage analysis in the OWB population (Costa et al. 2001).

By using the data of the generated linkage map, graphical genotypes were obtained from each BC_2DH plant, using the software program Graphical GenoTypes [(GGT) Van Berloo 1999]. Based on the genotype representations with GGT, the size and the number of introgressions as well as the percentage of donor genome were determined. For the calculation of segment length and genome ratios, the half-intervals flanking a marker locus were considered to be of the same genotype as implemented by the GGT software. For missing marker data, plants were assumed to have the genotype of the two flanking markers if these had identical genotypes for a line. However, if the two flanking markers showed contrasting genotypes, then the data were recorded as missing.

The selection of pre-ILs was based on the following criteria: (1) the selected lines should be characterised by a low percentage of donor genome and (2) contain a low number of additional donor segments and, (3) the donor segments in the selected lines should be overlapping and covering the entire exotic genome.

Evaluation of days to Hea

Phenotypic evaluation of the BC_2DH plants was carried out under field conditions at four different locations in the season 2003. The test locations were the experimental station of the University of Bonn (West Germany), and the breeders' experimental stations in Gudow (Nordsaat Saatzucht, northern Germany), Morgenrot (Saatzucht Josef Breun, eastern Germany) and Irlbach (Dr. J. Ackermann, southern Germany). The field experiment was designed in randomised plots without replications. As a control, the recurrent parents were tested with 20 (Scarlett) and ten (Thuringia) replications per block. Plot size $(6-10 \text{ m}^2)$, seedling rate $(300-330 \text{ kernels/m}^2)$ and field management were in accordance with the local practice. The trait days to Hea was recorded as number of days from sowing until emergence of 50% of spikes on main tillers.

Data analysis

Fragment sizes of the SSR markers were visualised by the LI-COR e-seq software, and genotype scoring was carried out manually. Fragment sizes were translated into homozygous elite or homozygous exotic genotypes according to the two possible fragment sizes, revealed by the elite and exotic barley parents, respectively. The proportion of exotic alleles (P [exotic]) was calculated as the percentage of exotic alleles present in a single BC₂DH line according to the formula:

$$P[\text{exotic}] = \frac{[aa]}{[AA] + [aa]}.$$

In the above formula [AA] and [aa] correspond to the number of the homozygous elite and the homozygous exotic genotypes, respectively. All marker loci were subjected to a chi-square goodness-of-fit test for segregation analysis.

Effect of different introgressions at 2HS on the trait days until Hea

In order to examine whether the introgression on chromosome 2HS had an effect on the trait days to Hea, S42 and T42 pre-ILs were selected. These carried different introgressions on the chromosome arm 2HS, where the major photoperiod response gene *Ppd-H1* is located. The phenotypic variance of the trait days until Hea was partitioned with the GLM procedure (SAS Institute 1999). The GLM model included the introgressions at 2HS) and the genetic background (Scarlett or Thuringia) as fixed effects, and the environment and the respective interactions with the environment as random effects (mixed model). Significance was established on the basis of a 0.01 probability threshold.

Comparison of pre-ILs carrying different introgressions on chromosome 2HS

The least square means [(LSMEANS) SAS Institute 1999] across environments were calculated for each selected pre-IL carrying an introgression on chromosome

2HS. A Dunnett multiple comparison of LSMEANS differences of the selected pre-ILs with Scarlett and Thuringia as respective controls was implemented (Dunnett 1955).

Results and discussion

Generation of the BC2DH populations

Two advanced backcross populations with 301 (S42) and 84 (T42) BC₂DH lines were generated from 12 BC₁F₁ plants each. The final number of BC₂DH lines in each population was mainly determined by different survival rates during tissue culture and by the number of successfully produced fertile DH plants. From 196 (S42) and 207 (T42) BC₂F₁ plants, 645 and 158 DH plants were produced, of which 301 (S42) and 84 (T42) were fertile. The number of fertile DH plants was three times higher in the Scarlett-derived population than in the Thuringia-derived population. Of the vital DH plants, 47% (S42) and 53% (T42) were fertile. No further selection was carried out during the generation and propagation of the BC₂DH lines.

Linkage map construction

In order to infer the sequential order of SSR markers genotyped in this study, they were integrated using the program MapManager QTX (Table 1). Calculations were based on a priori chromosome assignments, and the SSR markers mapped by Ramsay et al. (2000) were used as anchors. Linkage groups verified with the command distribute of the MapManager QTX program corresponded well with the published linkage groups with the exception of EBmac684 (5H, Ramsay et al. 2000) and BMS02 (1H, Russell et al. 1997), which were grouped with SSR markers of chromosome 2H and 5H, respectively. The order of the markers returned by the program MapManager QTX was in agreement with that in the map by Ramsay et al. (2000). However, there were the exceptions of Bmac32, Bmag105 and Bmag381, which were located further downstream on the respective chromosomes than in the published map, and of the markers HVM60 and GMS116 whose order was inversed. Map positions of markers were estimated by translating the distances calculated by MapManager OTX into new distances relative to the map positions by Ramsay et al. (2000). The sequential order of the newly mapped markers (MGB) and of those deviating from the map by Ramsay et al. (2000) was verified by mapping data from the OWB population or the F_2 population Thuringia \times ISR42-8.

The inferred marker linkage map covered 1,089 cM (S42) and 990 cM (T42), respectively, with an average marker density of 11.2 cM and 14.7 cM (Table 1). When the genotyped markers were assigned to bins according to information by Kleinhofs and Graner (2001) and by

the OWB population (http://barleyworld.org), 82% of the bins contained at least one genotyped SSR marker.

Genetic constitution of the BC2DH populations

The BC₂DH populations were successfully genotyped with 97 (S42) and 65 (T42) SSR markers. Among the BC₂DH lines, the percentage of exotic germplasm ranged from 0% to 36.9% (S42) and from 0% to 30.5% (T42). The average percentage of exotic germplasm was 13.9% for population S42, which indicated a higherthan-expected recovery of the donor-parent genome. The average fraction of exotic germplasm in T42 was 10.8%, thus below the expected 12.5% of exotic germplasm. The BC₂DH lines carried 0–11 (S42) and 0–7 (T42) introgressed segments with an average of four and three introgressions in S42 and T42, respectively.

Selection and characterisation of pre-ILs

A selection of pre-ILs with the least number of introgressions was made with the intention to accelerate the generation of pure isogenic lines. A minimal number of BC₂DH lines were selected to represent the entire exotic genome in overlapping segments of about 20 cM. In order to investigate the effect of the same donor introgression in two different elite backgrounds, two sets of pre-ILs with 49 lines for S42 (Fig. 1) and 43 lines for T42 were selected. Altogether 45 (S42) and 41 (T42) different pre-ILs were selected of which four (S42) and two (T42) were chosen twice, because they contain two target introgressions each. The pre-ILs contained, on average, 3.0 (S42) and 2.5 (T42) introgressed segments and 10.8% (118 cM) and 9.8% (97 cM) of exotic germplasm, respectively (Table 2). The percentage of introgressed segments ranged from 3.1% (34 cM) to 28.1% (306 cM) in S42 and from 0.7% (7 cM) to 20.5% (203 cM) in T42. Three and 12 pre-ILs contained a single introgression in S42, and T42, respectively. Based on the level of genome coverage provided by the investigated SSR markers, these can be considered as isogenic lines of Scarlett and Thuringia. In barley, Pillen et al. (2003, 2004) and Matus et al. (2003) generated backcross populations using an exotic accession. However, they worked with large sets of above 130 unselected BC2-derived lines. The selection of a minimal number of pre-ILs sufficient to represent the entire exotic genome, as demonstrated in this study, has several advantages compared to working with the complete population. First, selecting pre-ILs with a low number of additional introgressions can accelerate elimination of non-target introgressions, and further cycles of backcrossing may be accomplished with a considerably reduced set of lines, thereby saving resources and efforts. Second, costly analyses of quality parameters or of proteome and expression profiles may be carried out with a reduced set of lines, while the sampling of the complete exotic genome is still guaran-

 Table 1 Map positions of SSR loci assayed in the S42 and T42 populations and in the candidate introgression lines (pre-ILs)

No.* Chr. Pop.* SD ⁴ Res 100.* 10	populations and in the candidate introgression lines (pre-ILs)					No ^a	Chr	Dag ^b Dog ^c SI		
H NGB802 0 B V_6 64 B B C 1 GMS21 14 B V_6 66 MCR333 65 B 2 GMS21 14 B V_6 66 MCR333 65 B 4 GRM1007 28 S V_6 66 MCR313 150 T 6 MGR325 52 S 70 Bmag022 162 B P 7 HVALAAT 63 B 71 MGB57 165 S P 8 HVM20 65 B 611 B B 7 GM306 46 B S 11 Bmag210 75 S 7 T BMG102 145 S S 7 B S 12 HVCLUEND S S 7 B S 12 B S 12 S S S	No. ^a	Chr.	Pos. ^b	Pop. ^c	SD^d	110.	Cm.	103.	T Op.	50
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	111					63 64	Bmag337 Bmag257	43	B	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1n 1	MGB402	0	в	V_{c}	65	Billag557 Bmag223	40 69	S	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2	GMS21	14	B	V_{s}	66	MGB338	85	B	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3	S53707	20	B	$V_{\rm S}$	67	GMS061	126	B	V_{S}
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4	GBM1007	28	S	V_{S}	68	AF04394A	137	В	$V_{\rm S}$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	GBM1042	39	S		69	MGB318	150	Т	
7 HVALAT 63 B 71 MGB357 165 S F 9 Brag211 68 B 72 Brag316 6 B S 9 Brag211 68 B 73 GBM1049 40 S 11 Brag210 75 S 73 GBM1049 40 S 12 Brag210 75 S 74 GBM1049 40 S 13 HPG131 15 S S 77 GBM1008 132 B S 14 GBM1051 17 B 80 Brag206 19 S	6	MGB325	52	S		70	Bmag0222	162	В	V_{S}
8 HVM20 65 B 6/H 9 Bmag211 66 B 72 Bmac316 6 B S 10 Bmag211 70 B 73 GRM1049 40 S 11 Bmac32 105 S S 76 EBmac316 6 B S 13 Bmac32 105 S S 76 EBmac31 112 B S 14 GBM1061 115 S S 77 Bmac40 155 S S 14 GBM1052 42 B 81 Bmac206 19 S 14 GRM1052 42 B S 83 EBmac70 27 S F 20 CHM33 8 S 83 EBmac70 30 B F 21 GM134 107 S S 84 HX2125A 59 T F	7	HVALAAT	63	B		71	MGB357	165	S	$V_{\rm S}$
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	8	HVM20	65	B		6H	D 216	6	D	C
	9	Bmag211 Bmag140	68 70	B		12	Bmac316	6	B	S_{T}
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	Bmag105	70	D S		73	GMS06	40	S P	ç
	12	HVGLUEND	85	B		75	HVM74	103	B	Se
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	13	Bmac32	105	s	S_8	76	EBmac624	107	B	Ss
15 HVABAIP 130 S S 78 GBM1002 145 S S 16 HVM36 17 B 70 GBM1022 145 S S 16 HVM36 17 B 80 Bmac40 155 S S 17 GBM1052 42 B 81 Bmag206 19 S 19 MGB391 67 B 82 Bmag7 27 S V 20 EBmac644 80 B 58 84 APZ25 75 B V 21 GRM1016 139 S 58 86 HVA225 75 B V 23 Bmag125 122 B 58 87 Bmag11 93 S S 24 Bmag125 122 B 58 87 Bmag11 100 S S 25 GBM1016 139 V S 89 Bmag231 100 S S 26 HVM54 143	14	GBM1061	115	S	$S_{\rm S}$	77	Bmag613	112	B	\tilde{S}_{ST}
2H 79 GBM1022 145 S S 16 HVM36 17 B 80 Bmac40 155 S S 17 GBM1052 27 B 71 Bmag206 19 S S 19 MGB391 67 B 82 Bmag206 19 S F 21 GM53 86 B 58 83 EBmac03 50 B P 21 GM53 86 B 58 84 AF22725A 59 T P 23 Bmag381 107 S 58 86 HVX22S 75 B P 24 Bmag381 107 S 58 86 HVX22S 75 B P 25 GBM1016 139 S V's 88 Bmag212 100 S 26 HVM54 143 B V's 90 GM556 133 B 90 27 EBmac705 30 B V's 94	15	HVABAIP	130	S	$S_{\rm S}$	78	GBM1008	135	В	$S_{\rm S}$
16 HVM36 17 B 80 Bmac40 155 S S 17 GBM1052 42 B 81 Bmag206 19 S 19 MGB391 67 B 82 Bmag7 27 S V 20 EBmac644 80 B S 83 EBmac603 50 B V 21 GMS3 86 B S 84 AF2275A 59 T V 22 HVTUB 92 S S 86 HVA215 62 B V 23 Bmag125 122 B S 87 Bmag11 93 S 24 Bmag125 122 B S 89 Bmag211 100 S 25 GBM1016 139 S V 89 Bmag211 155 B 26 HVM54 143 B V 90 GMS466 120 B 29 HVLTPP 25 B V 91	2H					79	GBM1022	145	S	S_{S}
	16	HVM36	17	B		80	Bmac40	155	S	S_{S}
15 OBM (102) 42 B 81 Brag20 19 S μ 20 EBmac684 80 B Ss 83 EBmac603 50 B ν 21 GMS3 86 B Ss 84 AP22725A 59 T 22 HVTUB 92 S Ss 85 HVS1 62 B ν 23 Brag215 122 B Ss 87 Brag21 100 S 24 Bmag125 122 B Ss 87 Brag21 100 S 25 GBM1016 139 S V/s 89 Brag210 152 S 26 HVM54 143 B V/s 90 GMS46 120 B 29 HVLTPP 25 B V/s 93 Brag210 152 S 20 EBmac705 100 B V/s 95 EBmac755 166 B S 21 MCB410 65 B <td>1/</td> <td>GBM1035</td> <td>27</td> <td>B</td> <td></td> <td>7H</td> <td>B 207</td> <td>10</td> <td>G</td> <td></td>	1/	GBM1035	27	B		7H	B 207	10	G	
10 Indity 21 Binage 64 80 B 58 Emace 603 50 B V 20 EBmac 643 80 B 58 84 AF22725A 59 T 21 GMS3 86 B 58 84 AF22725A 59 T 22 HVTUB 92 S 58 84 HVASI 59 T 23 Bmag 10 107 S 58 84 HVASI 59 F 24 Bmag 11 93 S 5 88 HVACL3 94 S 25 GBM1016 139 S V 88 HVACL3 94 S 26 HVM54 143 B V's 90 GMS56 133 B 29 HVLTPPB 25 B V's 93 Bmag 120 152 S 30 EBmac705 30 B V's 95 EBmac704 178 B S 31 HVTR1 49 S	18	GBM1052 MGB201	42 67	B		81	Bmag206	19	S	17
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20	FBmac684	80	B	Sc	82 83	Bmag/ EBmac603	27	S P	V S V
123 HYTUB 92 Solution 133 141 143 143 143 144 143 144 143 144 143 144	20	GMS3	86	B	Ss	83	$\Delta F^{22725} \Delta$	59	Б Т	V S
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22	HVTUB	92	Š	\tilde{S}_{S}	85	HVSS1	62	B	$V_{\rm S}$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	23	Bmag381	107	S	$S_{\rm S}$	86	HVA22S	75	B	$V_{\rm S}$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	24	Bmag125	122	В	S_{s}	87	Bmag11	93	S	. 3
26 HVM54 143 B V_S 89 Bmag221 100 S 27 EBmac415 146 B V_S 90 GM536 133 B 28 MGB334 159 B V_S 91 GM536 133 B 29 HVLTPPB 25 B V_S 93 Bmag120 152 S 30 EBmac705 30 B V_S 94 MGB317 155 B 31 HVITRI 49 S V_S 96 HVM49 178 B S 34 HVM33 94 S S 96 HVM49 178 B S 35 GMS116 100 S S SS Rmarkers are numbered consecutively according to their re The TH are chromosomal locations of the target introgressis 36 HVM60 110 B S_S Tit T42 only SSR markers are numbered consecutively according to their re The TH are chromosomal locations of the target introgressis 37 GBM1043 130 <t< td=""><td>25</td><td>GBM1016</td><td>139</td><td>S</td><td>V_{S}</td><td>88</td><td>HVACL3</td><td>94</td><td>S</td><td></td></t<>	25	GBM1016	139	S	V_{S}	88	HVACL3	94	S	
$2/$ EBmac415140B V_S 90GMS46120B $3H$ 92 BMS64146B $3H$ 92 BMS64146B 29 HVLTPPB25B V_S 91GMS56133B 30 EBmac70530B V_S 94MGB317155B 31 HVITR149S V_S 95EBmac755166B 32 MGB41065B V_S 96HVM49178BS 33 Bmag0370S97Bmag135180SS 34 HVM60110B S_S V_T "H-7H are chromosomal locations of the target introgressis 36 HVM60110B S_S V_T "H-7H are chromosomal locations of the target introgressis 36 HVM60110B S_S V_T "H-7H are chromosome arm IHS and ending w 40 MGB358175B V_T "Starmare genotyped: B in both population, S in S42 on 41 HVM62165B V_T "CentiMorgan positions based on calculations with MapMana 41 HVM4014B S_S "Gagergation distortion ($P < 0.01$): V_S in favour of the eite allele 44 HVR33D25B S_S "Gagergation distortion ($P < 0.01$): V_S in favour of the eite allele 44 HVR2XG44SSstortion of a complete exotic genome into a cultivar, ha	26	HVM54	143	B	$V_{\rm S}$	89	Bmag321	100	S	
25MOB354139B V_S 91 $GMS56$ 133B29HVLTPPB25B V_S 93Bmag120152S30EBmac70530B V_S 94MGB317155B31HVITR149S V_S 95EBmac755166B32MGB41065B V_S 96HVM49178BS33Bmag60370S96HVM49178BS34HVM3394S97Bmag135180S35GMS116100S41-14and ending w36HVM60110BS_SVTand ending w37GBM1043130SS_SSSR markers are numbered consecutively according to their re38HV13GEIII155BS_SVTHT-H are chromosomal locations of the target introgressis39HVM62165BVTTH.CentiMorgan positions based on calculations with MapManag40MGB358175BSTin T42 only41Bmac29190BSTin T42 only42HVM404BS_Sof the exotic allele in S42, T42 and in both, S42 and T42, se, spr and S_{ST} in favour of the elite allele44HVB23D25BS_Ssion of a complete exotic genome into a cultivar, ha45HVKAX0X331BS_S46	27	EBmac415	146	B	V _S	90	GMS46	120	B	
371 75 92 BM354 140 B 29 HVLTPPB 25 B V_S 93 Bmag120 152 S 30 EBmac705 30 B V_S 94 MGB317 155 B 31 HVITR1 49 S V_S 95 EBmac755 166 B 32 MGB410 65 B V_S 96 HVM49 178 B S 33 Bmag603 70 S 97 Bmag135 180 S 34 HVM33 94 S 98 HVCH126A 181 B S 36 HVM60 110 B S_S V_T tive order starting with chromosomal locations of the target introgressic 37 GBM1043 130 S S_S V_T THL retword starting with chromosome arm 1HS and ending w 40 MGB358 175 B V_T THL retword starting with chromosome arm 1HS and ending w 41 Bmac29 190 B Tin T4	28 211	MGB554	159	В	Vs	91	GMS56	133	В	
25111122530B V_S 93111121323331HVITR1495 V_S 95BBmac755166B32MGB41065B V_S 96HVM49178BS33Bmag60370S97Bmag135180SS34HVM3394S98HVCH126A181BS35GMS116100S35SSR markers are numbered conscutively according to their re36HVM60110B S_S V_T "IH-7H are chromosomal locations of the target introgressis36HVM61130S S_S SSR markers are genotyped: B in both population, S in S42 on40MGB358175B"CentiMorgan positions based on calculations with MapManag41Bmac29190B C_T in T42 only42HVM4014BS T_T in T42 only43HVOLE21SS44HVB23D25BS45HVKNOX331BS46HVPAZKG44SS47HVM1355BS48GMS8957BS49EBmac77580BS49EBmac79132BS41Bac635131BS42HVADD125SS43EBmac679132 <td>20 20</td> <td>HVI TPPR</td> <td>25</td> <td>в</td> <td>V_{α}</td> <td>92</td> <td>BMS04 Bmaal20</td> <td>146</td> <td>B</td> <td></td>	20 20	HVI TPPR	25	в	V_{α}	92	BMS04 Bmaal20	146	B	
1HVITR1495 V_5 95EBmac755166B32MGB41065B V_5 96HVM49178BS33Bmag60370S97Bmag135180SS34HVM3394S98HVCH126A181BS35GMS116100S V_T "H-7H are chromosomal locations of the target introgressis36HVM60110B S_8 V_T "H-7H are chromosomal locations of the target introgressis37GBM1043130S S_8 VT"ue order starting with chromosome arm 1HS and ending w39HVM62165B V_T "HL"CentiMorgan positions based on calculations with MapManag40MGB358175B V_T "CentiMorgan positions based on calculations, S in S42 on41Bmac29190BSTin Ta2 only42HVM4014BSs"Stranzour of the elite allele in S42, T42 and in both, S42 and T42, resp44HVB23D25BSsstranzour of the exotic allele in S42, T42 and in both, S42 and T42, resp45HVM1355BSsteed. Other studies, which demonstrated the introgression46HVPAZXG44SSssteed. Other studies, which demonstrated the introgression47HVM1355BSsteed. Other studies, which demonstrated the introgression48GMS8957B	30	EBmac705	30	B	$V_{\rm S}$	93 94	MGB317	152	B	
32MGB41065B V_S 96HVM49178BS33Bmag60370S97Bmag135180S34HVM3394S97Bmag135180S35GMS116100S97Bmag135180S36HVM60110B S_S VT"IH-7H are chromosomal locations of the target introgressic37GBM1043130S S_S SSR markers are numbered consecutively according to their re38HV13GEIII155B S_S VTtive order starting with chromosome arm 1HS and ending w40MGB358175B V_T Tin T42 onlyCentiMorgan positions based on calculations with MapManag41Bmac29190BVTTin T42 only"SSR markers are genotyped: B in both population, S in S42 on42HVM4014B S_S "Gegregation distortion ($P < 0.01$): V_S in favour of the elite allele44HV02E21S S_S Stergetation distortion ($P < 0.01$): V_S in favour of the elite allele44HVBA2XG44SSstively45HVKNOX331B S_S 46HVPAZXG44SS47HVM1355BS48GMS8957BS49EBmac635131BS51TACMD125SS52EBmac635131B	31	HVITR1	49	Š	$V_{\rm S}$	95	EBmac755	166	B	
33Bmag60370S97Bmag135180S34HVM3394S98HVCH126A181BS35GMS116100S31H-7H are chromosomal locations of the target introgressis36HVM60110B S_S V_T $^{-1}$ H-7H are chromosomal locations of the target introgressis38HV13GEIII155B S_S V_T $^{-1}$ H-7H are chromosomal locations of the target introgressis39HVM62165B V_T $^{-1}$ H-7H V_T chromosome arm 1HS and ending w40MGB358175B V_T $^{-1}$ HL $^{-1}$ CentiMorgan positions based on calculations with MapManag41Bmac29190B $^{-1}$ Ti T42 only $^{-1}$ Ti T42 only $^{-1}$ SR markers are genotyped: B in both population, S in S42 on42HVM4014B S_S $^{-1}$ Se descation distortion ($P < 0.01$): V_S in favour of the elite allele43HVOLE21S S_S $^{-1}$ de average size of the exotic allele in S42, T42 and in both, S42 and T42, resp44HVB23D25B S_S tievely45HVKNOX331B S_S 46HVPAZXG44S S_S 47HVM1355B S_S 48GMS8957B S_S 49EBmac77580B S_S 49EBmac635131B S_S 49EBmac635131B <t< td=""><td>32</td><td>MGB410</td><td>65</td><td>В</td><td>V_{S}</td><td>96</td><td>HVM49</td><td>178</td><td>B</td><td>$S_{\rm T}$</td></t<>	32	MGB410	65	В	V_{S}	96	HVM49	178	B	$S_{\rm T}$
34HVM3394S98HVCHI26A181BS35GMS116100S $^{-1}$ H-7H are chromosomal locations of the target introgressio36HVM60110B S_S SSR markers are numbered consecutively according to their re37GBM1043130S S_S SSR markers are numbered consecutively according to their re38HVM62165B V_T "HL40MGB358175B V_T "HL40MGB358175B V_T "CentiMorgan positions based on calculations with MapManag OTX (Manly et al. 2001) and on Ramsay et al. (2000)41B S_S T in T42 only42HVM4014B S_S T in favour of the elite allele in T42; S_S , S_T and S_{T} in favour43HV0LE21S S_S s44HVB23D25B S_S s45HVKNOX331B S_S of the exotic allele in S42, T42 and in both, S42 and T42, resp46HVPAZXG44S S_S sion of a complete exotic genome into a cultivar, ha51TACMD125S S_S sion of a complete exotic genome into a cultivar, ha52EBmac701130B S_S (1992) and Eshed and Zamir (1994) established 21 I54EBmac679132B S_S These sets of introgression lines can be considered56GBM1015170S S_S These sets of introgression li	33	Bmag603	70	S		97	Bmag135	180	S	•
35GMS116100S36HVM60110B S_S T_T 37GBM1043130S S_S SSR markers are numbered consecutively according to their re38HV13GEIII155B S_S T_T 39HVM62165B V_T tive order starting with chromosome arm 1HS and ending w40MGB358175B V_T "HLL"41Bmac29190B"CentiMorgan positions based on calculations with MapManag41QTX (Manly et al. 2001) and on Ramsay et al. (2000)"SSR markers are genotyped: B in both population, S in S42 on44HV823D25B S_S "Gegregation distortion ($P < 0.01$): V_S in favour of the elite allele44HV823D25B S_S segregation distortion ($P < 0.01$): V_S in favour of the elite allele45HVKNOX331B S_S of the exotic allele in S42, T42 and in both, S42 and T42, resp46HVPAZXG44S S_S 47HVM1355B S_S 48GMS8957B S_S 49EBmac77580B S_S 41EBmac635131B S_S 42EBmac635131B S_S 43TACMD125S S_S 44EBmac635131B S_S 45EBmac635131B S_S 46GBM1015170S S_S 47 </td <td>34</td> <td>HVM33</td> <td>94</td> <td>S</td> <td></td> <td>98</td> <td>HVCHI26A</td> <td>181</td> <td>В</td> <td>S_{T}</td>	34	HVM33	94	S		98	HVCHI26A	181	В	S_{T}
30HVM00110B $S_S V_T$ 'H-/H are chromosomal locations of the target introgressin37GBM1043130S $S_S V_T$ 'H-/H are chromosomal locations of the target introgressin38HV13GEIII155B $S_S V_T$ 'H-/H are chromosomal locations of the target introgressin38HV13GEIII155B $S_S V_T$ 'H-/H are chromosomal locations of the target introgressin39HVM62165B V_T 'H40MGB358175B V_T 'HL41Bmac29190B'P-CentiMorgan positions based on calculations with MapManag QTX (Manly et al. 2001) and on Ramsay et al. (2000) 'SSR markers are genotyped: <i>B</i> in both population, <i>S</i> in S42 on T in T42 only41HVOLE21S S_S 42HVM4014BS'T in T42 only43HVOLE21S S_S 'G-cention (P < 0.01): V_S in favour of the elite allele in taz, S, S_T and S_{TT} in favo of the exotic allele in S42, T42 and in both, S42 and T42, resp tively43HVOLZ44SS_S44HVB23D25BS_S45HVKNOX331BS_S46HVPAZXG44SS_S47HVM1355BS_S48GMS8957BS_S49EBmac701130BS_S49EBmac635131BS_S41EBmac679132BS_S	35	GMS116	100	S	C V	3111 511				
576 BW10451505585878150757538HV13GEIII155B $S_{\rm VT}$ 1501507414140MGB358175B $V_{\rm T}$ 141 $V_{\rm T}$ 14140MGB358175B $V_{\rm T}$ 141 $V_{\rm T}$ 14141Bmac29190B QTX (Manly et al. 2001) and on Ramsay et al. (2000)2558542HVM4014B $S_{\rm S}$ T in T42 only352 or 75843HVOLE21S $S_{\rm S}$ 352 or 758352 or 75844HVB23D25B $S_{\rm S}$ 352 or 758352 or 75846HVPAZXG44S $S_{\rm S}$ 164142, resp47HVK10355B $S_{\rm S}$ 164142, resp48GMS8957B $S_{\rm S}$ 164142, resp49EBmac77580B $S_{\rm S}$ 16414414552EBmac635131B $S_{\rm S}$ 1641492) and Eshed and Zamir (1994) established 21154EBmac635131B $S_{\rm S}$ and 50 LLs, respectively, in tomato; Jeuken and Lind55EBmac679132B $S_{\rm S}$ and 50 LLs, respectively, in tomato; Jeuken and Lind56GBM1015170S $S_{\rm S}$ and 50 LLs, respectively, in tomato; Jeuken and Lind57HVM67180B $S_{\rm S}$ permanent reso	30 37	GPM1043	110	B	$S_{\rm S} V_{\rm T}$	"IH-/H	are chromosomal lo	cations of th	e target intro	ogression.
39HVM62165B V_T THE40MGB358175B V_T THE41Bmac29190B V_T THE42HVM4014B S_S Tin T42 only43HVOLE21S S_S Segregation distortion ($P < 0.01$): V_S in favour of the elite allele44HVB23D25B S_S Segregation distortion ($P < 0.01$): V_S in favour of the elite allele44HVB23D25B S_S of the exotic allele in S42, T42 and in both, S42 and T42, resp45HVKNOX331B S_S of the exotic allele in S42, T42 and in both, S42 and T42, resp46HVPAZXG44S S_S tively47HVM1355B S_S tively48GMS8957B S_S teed. Other studies, which demonstrated the introgreg50MGB39695B S_S sion of a complete exotic genome into a cultivar, ha51TACMD125Ssalso worked with a reduced set of lines. Eshed et st52EBmac701130B S_S (1992) and Eshed and Zamir (1994) established 21 154EBmac679132B S_S and 50 ILs, respectively, in tomato; Jeuken and Lind55EBmac788150B S_S These sets of introgression lines can be considered56GBM1015170S S_S These sets of introgression lines can be considered58HVM6	38	HV13GEIII	150	B	$S_{\rm S}$	tive orde	rkers are numbered c	mosome arm	1US and en	ding with
40MGB358175BCentiMorgan positions based on calculations with MapManage41Bmac29190BCentiMorgan positions based on calculations with MapManage41Bmac29190BCentiMorgan positions based on calculations with MapManage41HVM4014BSsTin T42 only42HVM4014BSsSsSsR markers are genotyped: B in both population, S in S42 on43HVOLE21SSsSsSsgregation distortion ($P < 0.01$); V_S in favour of the elite allele44HVB23D25BSsS42; V_T in favour of the elite allele in T42; S_S , S_T and S_{ST} in favo45HVKNOX331BSsof the exotic allele in S42, T42 and in both, S42 and T42, resp46HVPAZXG44SSsof the exotic allele in S42, T42 and in both, S42 and T42, resp47HVM1355BSssion of a complete exotic genome into a cultivar, ha48GMS8957BSssion of a complete exotic genome into a cultivar, ha51TACMD125SSsalso worked with a reduced set of lines. Eshed et it52EBmac701130BSsout (2004) selected 28 introgression lines in lettuc54EBmac679132BSsout (2004) selected 28 introgression lines can be considered55EBmac788150BSsselected for further analysis according to the focus of t56GBMI015170S	39	HVM62	165	B	V_{T}	7HL	a starting with child.	mosonic ann	ins and ch	ung with
41Bmac29190BQTX (Manly et al. 2001) and on Ramsay et al. (2000)4H42HVM4014B S_S SSR markers are genotyped: B in both population, S in S42 on42HVOLE21S S_S "Segregation distortion ($P < 0.01$): V_S in favour of the elite allele44HVB23D25B S_S S42; V_T in favour of the elite allele in T42; S_S , S_T and S_{ST} in favour45HVKNOX331B S_S of the exotic allele in S42, T42 and in both, S42 and T42, resp46HVPAZXG44S S_S tively47HVM1355B S_S 48GMS8957B S_S 49EBmac77580B S_S 50MGB39695B S_S 51TACMD125S S_S 52EBmac701130B S_S 53EBmac635131B S_S 54EBmac779132B S_S 55HDAMYB190B S_S 58HVM67180B S_S 59HDAMYB190B S_S 50MGB3840B S_S 61BMS0212S62Bmac016324B	40	MGB358	175	B	· 1	^b CentiM	organ positions based	1 on calculati	ons with Mar	Manager
4H"SSR markers are genotyped: B in both population, S in S42 on42HVM4014B S_8 T in T42 only43HVOLE21S S_8 "Segregation distortion ($P < 0.01$): V_S in favour of the elite allele44HVB23D25B S_8 S42; V_T in favour of the elite allele in T42; S_8 , S_T and S_{ST} in favo45HVKNOX331B S_8 of the exotic allele in S42, T42 and in both, S42 and T42, resp46HVPAZXG44S S_8 of the exotic allele in S42, T42 and in both, S42 and T42, resp47HVM1355B S_8 tively48GMS8957B S_8 teed. Other studies, which demonstrated the introgreg50MGB39695B S_8 sion of a complete exotic genome into a cultivar, ha51TACMD125S S_8 also worked with a reduced set of lines. Eshed et allele52EBmac701130B S_8 (1992) and Eshed and Zamir (1994) established 21 I54EBmac679132B S_8 out (2004) selected 28 introgression lines in letture56GBM1015170S S_8 These sets of introgression lines can be considered57HVJASIP180B S_8 selected for further analysis according to the focus of t58HVM67180B S_8 selected for further analysis according to the focus of t59HDAMYB190B S_8 selected for further analysis acco	41	Bmac29	190	В		QTX (M	anly et al. 2001) and	on Ramsay e	et al. (2000)	
42HVM4014B S_S T in T42 only43HVOLE21S S_S "dsegregation distortion ($P < 0.01$): V_S in favour of the elite allele44HVB23D25B S_S S42; V_T in favour of the elite allele in T42; S_S , S_T and S_{ST} in favo45HVKNOX331B S_S of the exotic allele in S42, T42 and in both, S42 and T42, resp46HVPAZXG44S S_S tively47HVM1355B S_S teed. Other studies, which demonstrated the introgree48GMS8957B S_S teed. Other studies, which demonstrated the introgree50MGB39695B S_S sion of a complete exotic genome into a cultivar, ha51TACMD125S S_S also worked with a reduced set of lines. Eshed et allele52EBmac635131B S_S and 50 ILs, respectively, in tomato; Jeuken and Lind54EBmac679132B S_S out (2004) selected 28 introgression lines in lettuc55EBmac788150B S_S These sets of introgression lines can be considered56GBM1015170S S_S selected for further analysis according to the focus of t58HVM67180B S_S selected for further analysis according to the focus of t59HDAMYB190B S_S selected for further analysis according to the focus of t61BMS0212SAlbore <td>4H</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td>°SSR ma</td> <td>rkers are genotyped:</td> <td>B in both pop</td> <td>oulation, S in</td> <td>S42 only,</td>	4H			_		°SSR ma	rkers are genotyped:	B in both pop	oulation, S in	S42 only,
43HVOLE21S S_8 "Segregation distortion ($P < 0.01$): V_5 in favour of the elite allele44HVB23D25B S_8 S42; V_T in favour of the elite allele in T42; S_8 , S_T and S_{ST} in favor45HVKNOX331B S_8 of the exotic allele in S42, T42 and in both, S42 and T42, resp46HVPAZXG44S S_8 of the exotic allele in S42, T42 and in both, S42 and T42, resp47HVM1355B S_8 tively48GMS8957B S_8 teed. Other studies, which demonstrated the introgree50MGB39695B S_8 sion of a complete exotic genome into a cultivar, ha51TACMD125S S_8 also worked with a reduced set of lines. Eshed et alled52EBmac701130B S_8 (1992) and Eshed and Zamir (1994) established 21 I53EBmac635131B S_8 out (2004) selected 28 introgression lines in lettuc54EBmac779132B S_8 out (2004) selected 28 introgression lines in lettuc55EBmac788150B S_8 permanent resources from which certain lines may56GBM1015170S S_8 selected for further analysis according to the focus of t58HVM67180B S_8 selected for further analysis according to the focus of t59HDAMYB190B S_8 selected for further analysis according to the focus of t61 <t< td=""><td>42</td><td>HVM40</td><td>14</td><td>B</td><td>$S_{\rm S}$</td><td>T in T42</td><td>only</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	42	HVM40	14	B	$S_{\rm S}$	T in T42	only			
44 $HVB25D$ 25B S_8 $S42; V_T$ in favour of the elite allele in $142; S_8, S_T$ and S_{ST} in favour of the entite allele in $142; S_8, S_T$ and S_{ST} in favour of the exotic allele in $542; T42$ and in both, 542 and $T42$, resp45 $HVKNOX3$ 31B S_8 of the exotic allele in $542; T42$ and in both, 542 and $T42$, resp46 $HVPAZXG$ 44S S_8 tively47 $HVM13$ 55B S_8 tively48 $GMS89$ 57B S_8 teed. Other studies, which demonstrated the introgree50 $MGB396$ 95B S_8 sion of a complete exotic genome into a cultivar, ha51 $TACMD$ 125S S_8 also worked with a reduced set of lines. Eshed et a52 $EBmac701$ 130B S_8 (1992) and Eshed and Zamir (1994) established 21 I54 $EBmac635$ 131B S_8 out (2004) selected 28 introgression lines in lettue55 $EBmac788$ 150B S_8 out (2004) selected 28 introgression lines in lettue56 $GBM1015$ 170S S_8 permanent resources from which certain lines may58 $HVM67$ 180B S_8 selected for further analysis according to the focus of t59 $HDAMYB$ 190B S_8 selected for further analysis according to the focus of t60MGB3840B S_8 according to the genome segment of interest61BMS0212S46.0 cM in S42 and 48.1 cM in	43	HVOLE	21	S D	S_{S}	"Segrega	tion distortion $(P < 0)$	01): $V_{\rm S}$ in fav	our of the elit	e allele in
45HVRIORAS51BSsof the exotic allele in S42, 142 and in both, S42 and 142, resp46HVPAZXG44SSstively47HVM1355BSstively48GMS8957BSstively49EBmac77580BSssion of a complete exotic genome into a cultivar, ha50MGB39695BSssion of a complete exotic genome into a cultivar, ha51TACMD125SSsalso worked with a reduced set of lines. Eshed et st52EBmac701130BSs(1992) and Eshed and Zamir (1994) established 21 I53EBmac635131BSsout (2004) selected 28 introgression lines in lettue54EBmac788150BSsout (2004) selected 28 introgression lines in lettue56GBM1015170SSsThese sets of introgression lines can be considered57HVJASIP180BSsselected for further analysis according to the focus of t58HVM67180BSsselected for further analysis according to the focus of t59HDAMYB190BSsselected for further analysis according to the focus of t54BMS0212S46.0 cM in S42 and 48.1 cM in T42. The average sizes60MGB3840BSsselected for further analysis according to the average sizes61BMS0212S46.0 cM in S42 and 48.1 cM in T42. The	44 45		23	D P	SS S	$S42; V_{T}$	in favour of the elite a	Ilele in 142 ; S	$S_{\rm S}, S_{\rm T} \text{ and } S_{\rm ST}$	in favour
47 HVM13 55 B S_S $Uvery$ 48 GMS89 57 B S_S $teed.$ Other studies, which demonstrated the introgram 49 EBmac775 80 B S_S $sion$ of a complete exotic genome into a cultivar, ha 50 MGB396 95 B S_S $sion$ of a complete exotic genome into a cultivar, ha 51 TACMD 125 S S_S $also$ worked with a reduced set of lines. Eshed et a 52 EBmac701 130 B S_S (1992) and Eshed and Zamir (1994) established 21 I 53 EBmac635 131 B S_S S_S 54 EBmac679 132 B S_S $and 50$ ILs, respectively, in tomato; Jeuken and Lind 56 GBM1015 170 S S_S S_S These sets of introgression lines can be considered 57 HVJASIP 180 B S_S S_S selected for further analysis according to the focus of t 58 HVM67 180 B S_S S_S selected for further analysis according to the focus of t 59 HDAMYB 190 B S_S S_S selected for further analysis according to the focus of t 51 $MGB384$ 0 B S_S 46.0 cM in S42 and 48.1 cM in T42. The average sizes 62 $Bmac0163$ 24 B 46.0 cM in S42 and 48.1 cM in T42. The average sizes	46	HVPAZXG	44	S	$S_{\rm S}$	of the ex	totic allele in S42, 14	2 and in both	i, 542 and 14	2, respec-
48GMS8957B S_s 49EBmac77580B S_s teed. Other studies, which demonstrated the introgree50MGB39695B S_s sion of a complete exotic genome into a cultivar, ha51TACMD125S S_s also worked with a reduced set of lines. Eshed et a52EBmac701130B S_s (1992) and Eshed and Zamir (1994) established 21 I53EBmac635131B S_s and 50 ILs, respectively, in tomato; Jeuken and Lind54EBmac679132B S_s out (2004) selected 28 introgression lines in lettue55EBmac788150B S_s These sets of introgression lines can be considered56GBM1015170S S_s These sets of introgression lines can be considered57HVJASIP180B S_s selected for further analysis according to the focus of t58HVM67180B S_s selected for further analysis according to the focus of t59HDAMYB190B S_s selected for further analysis according to the focus of t60MGB3840BGThe average length of the target introgressions w61BMS0212S46.0 cM in S42 and 48.1 cM in T42. The average sizes62Bmac016324BG	47	HVM12 HVM13	55	B	S_{s}	lively				
49EBmac77580B S_s teed. Other studies, which demonstrated the introgree50MGB39695B S_s sion of a complete exotic genome into a cultivar, ha51TACMD125SSsalso worked with a reduced set of lines. Eshed et a52EBmac701130B S_s (1992) and Eshed and Zamir (1994) established 21 I53EBmac635131B S_s and 50 ILs, respectively, in tomato; Jeuken and Lind54EBmac679132B S_s out (2004) selected 28 introgression lines in lettue55EBmac788150B S_s These sets of introgression lines can be considered56GBM1015170S S_s These sets of introgression lines can be considered57HVJASIP180B S_s selected for further analysis according to the focus of t58HVM67180B S_s selected for further analysis according to the focus of t59HDAMYB190B S_s selected for further analysis according to the focus of t60MGB3840BGThe average length of the target introgressions w61BMS0212S46.0 cM in S42 and 48.1 cM in T42. The average sizes62Bmac016324B61BM S42 and 48.1 cM in T42. The average sizes	48	GMS89	57	В	$S_{\rm S}$					
50MGB39695B S_s sion of a complete exotic genome into a cultivar, ha51TACMD125SSsion of a complete exotic genome into a cultivar, ha51TACMD125SSalso worked with a reduced set of lines. Eshed et a52EBmac701130B S_s (1992) and Eshed and Zamir (1994) established 21 I53EBmac635131B S_s and 50 ILs, respectively, in tomato; Jeuken and Lind54EBmac679132B S_s out (2004) selected 28 introgression lines in lettue55EBmac788150B S_s These sets of introgression lines can be considered56GBM1015170S S_s These sets of introgression lines can be considered57HVJASIP180B S_s selected for further analysis according to the focus of t58HVM67180B S_s selected for further analysis according to the focus of t59HDAMYB190B S_s selected for further analysis according to the focus of t60MGB3840BGThe average length of the target introgressions w61BMS0212S46.0 cM in S42 and 48.1 cM in T42. The average sizes62Bmac016324BSS	49	EBmac775	80	В	$\bar{S_{S}}$	teed. O	ther studies, which	h demonstr	rated the in	trogres-
51TACMD125S S_s also worked with a reduced set of lines. Eshed et also worked with a reduced set of also between all bit to be also between and Lind out (2004) selected 28 introgression lines in letture and the considered permanent resources from which certain lines may selected for further analysis according to the focus of t study or according to the genome segment of interest the average length of the target introgressions we defined and the analysis according to the average sizes are also be als	50	MGB396	95	В	$S_{ m S}$	sion of	a complete exotio	c genome in	nto a cultiva	ar, have
52EBmac/01130B S_s (1992) and Eshed and Zamir (1994) established 21 I53EBmac635131B S_s and 50 ILs, respectively, in tomato; Jeuken and Lind54EBmac679132B S_s out (2004) selected 28 introgression lines in lettue55EBmac788150B S_s out (2004) selected 28 introgression lines in lettue56GBM1015170S S_s These sets of introgression lines can be considered57HVJASIP180B S_s selected for further analysis according to the focus of t58HVM67180B S_s selected for further analysis according to the focus of t59HDAMYB190B S_s selected for further analysis according to the focus of t60MGB3840BThe average length of the target introgressions w61BMS0212S46.0 cM in S42 and 48.1 cM in T42. The average sizes62Bmac016324B542	51	TACMD	125	S	S_{S}	also wo	orked with a redu	iced set of	lines. Eshe	ed et al.
53EBMac635131B S_S and 50 ILs, respectively, in tomato; Jeuken and Lind54EBmac679132B S_S and 50 ILs, respectively, in tomato; Jeuken and Lind55EBmac788150B S_S out (2004) selected 28 introgression lines in lettue56GBM1015170S S_S These sets of introgression lines can be considered57HVJASIP180B S_S permanent resources from which certain lines may58HVM67180B S_S selected for further analysis according to the focus of t59HDAMYB190B S_S selected for further analysis according to the focus of t60MGB3840BThe average length of the target introgressions w61BMS0212S46.0 cM in S42 and 48.1 cM in T42. The average sizes62Bmac016324Bad 6.0 cM in S42 and 48.1 cM in T42. The average sizes	52	EBmac/01	130	B	S_{S}	(1992)	and Eshed and Z	amir (1994)	established	1 21 ILs
54EBInacoly 152 B 55 55 EBmac788 150 B 55 55 out (2004) selected 28 introgression lines in lettue 56 GBM1015 170 S $5s$ These sets of introgression lines can be considered 57 HVJASIP180B $5s$ These sets of introgression lines can be considered 58 HVM67180B $5s$ selected for further analysis according to the focus of t 59 HDAMYB190B $5s$ selected for further analysis according to the focus of t $5H$ 60 MGB3840B $5s$ The average length of the target introgressions w 61 BMS0212S 46.0 cM in S42 and 48.1 cM in T42. The average sizes 62 Bmac016324B 46.0 cM in S42 and 48.1 cM in T42. The average sizes	55 54	EBmac635	131	B	SS S	and 50	ILs. respectively.	in tomato:	Jeuken and	Lindh-
55GBM1015170SSsOut (2004) selected 20 introgression lines in letta56GBM1015170SSsThese sets of introgression lines can be considered57HVJASIP180BSsThese sets of introgression lines can be considered58HVM67180BSsselected for further analysis according to the focus of t59HDAMYB190BSsselected for further analysis according to the focus of t60MGB3840BThe average length of the target introgressions w61BMS0212S46.0 cM in S42 and 48.1 cM in T42. The average sizes62Bmac016324Battrogression in S42 and 48.1 cM in T42. The average sizes	55	EBmac788	150	B	Sc	out (2)	(04) selected 28	introgressic	n lines in	lettuce
57HVJASIP180B S_s Finise sets of introgression intestean be considered58HVM67180B S_s permanent resources from which certain lines may59HDAMYB190B S_s selected for further analysis according to the focus of t54 G_1 MGB3840BThe average length of the target introgressions w60MGB3840BThe average length of the target introgressions w61BMS0212S46.0 cM in S42 and 48.1 cM in T42. The average sizes62Bmac016324B G_1	56	GBM1015	170	ŝ	S_{c}^{S}	These a	sets of introgressi	on lines ca	n he consid	lered ac
58 HVM67 180 B S_s permanent resources from which certain lines may 59 HDAMYB 190 B S_s selected for further analysis according to the focus of t $5H$ 60 MGB3840BThe average length of the target introgressions w 61 BMS02 12 S 46.0 cM in S42 and 48.1 cM in T42. The average sizes 62 Bmac0163 24 B 46.0 cM in S42 and 48.1 cM in T42. The average sizes	57	HVJASIP	180	B	$S_{\rm S}$	norman	ant recourses free	m which a	rtain lines	mov he
59HDAMYB190B S_s selected for further analysis according to the focus of t5H998 S_s selected for further analysis according to the focus of t60MGB3840BThe average length of the target introgressions w61BMS0212S62Bmac016324B	58	HVM67	180	В	$\vec{S_{S}}$	perman	ient resources fro		atam mes	may be
5Hstudy or according to the genome segment of interest60MGB3840B61BMS0212S62Bmac016324B6324B	59	HDAMYB	190	В	$\bar{S_{S}}$	selected	i for further analys	sis accordin	g to the foci	is of the
60MGB3840BThe average length of the target introgressions w61BMS0212S62Bmac016324B	5H	Mana	<i>c</i>			study o	r according to the	e genome se	gment of in	iterest.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	60	MGB384	0	В		The	average length of	the target	introgressi	ons was
D Diffection D	01 62	BIVISU2 Bmac0163	12	S B		46.0 cM	1 in S42 and 48.1 c	M in T42.	The average	sizes of
all introgressions in the pre-iLs were 35 cM in S42 at	02	Dillacoros	24	ם		all intro	ogressions in the p	ore-ILs were	e 35 cM in	S42 and



Fig. 1 Graphical genotypes for 49 candidate introgression lines (*pre-ILs*) selected from 45 BC₂DH lines in S42. *Four lines* are represented twice as they carry two different target introgressions. The *vertical bars* represent the seven chromosomes of barley and the pre-ILs are depicted *horizontally*. The *black areas* indicate the *Hordeum vulgare*. ssp. *spontaneum* introgressions, *grey* the elite genotype and *white* missing data

35.4 cM in T42. This corresponds to the predictions by Fulton et al. (1997), who calculated from simulations mean segment lengths of 50 cM in a BC₁ and 34 cM in a BC₂ for an unselected population. Matus et al. (2003) found similar introgression sizes of 38.6 cM on average in a BC₂F₆ population of an elite × exotic barley cross.

From the S42 population, pre-ILs with overlapping donor segments could be selected for all but one genomic region. For the marker interval between EBmac603 and HVSS1 on the short arm of chromosome 7H, no line spanning the entire interval was present in the population. For two marker intervals in T42, no introgressions could be detected. These were on chromosome 2HS (GBM1052-MGB391) and 3HS (EBmac705-MGB410). The lack of lines with introgressions at these particular genomic regions might be due to the strong segregation distortion in favour of the elite genotype at these loci (data unpublished). Except for the aforementioned intervals, donor segments for all genomic regions were represented by at least one pre-IL, indicating that at least 98.1% and 93.0% of the exotic genome were selected in S42 and T42, respectively.

Several studies have already demonstrated the generation of isogenic lines employing different strategies. The majority of them used marker-assisted selection (Chetelat and Meglic 2000; Eshed and Zamir 1994; Monforte et al. 2001; Fulton et al. 1997; Bernacchi et al. 1998). Others employed random backcrossing followed by genetic characterisation and selection of isogenic lines (Jeuken and Lindhout 2004; Lin et al. 1998; Matus et al. 2003). The number of backcrosses and selfings varied between the different studies. For example, introgression lines have been developed from BC_3S_1 (Bernacchi et al. 1998), BC_2S_3 (Monforte and Tanksley 2000), BC_1F_5 (Lin et al. 1998), or a number of different generations, $BC_{(1-2)}F_{(2-6)}$ (Chetelat and Meglic 2000) and $BC_{(1-5)}S_1$ (Jeuken and Lindhout 2004). Jeuken and Lindhout (2004) argued that using more cycles of backcrossing and less selfing generations was more efficient to establish introgression lines than using fewer backcross and more selfing generations.

In this study, random backcrossing was used to establish two BC₂DH populations. These populations with 301 and 84 BC₂DH plants were constructed from 76 (S42) and 43 (T42) BC₂F₁ lines. Despite the considerable difference in sample size, in both populations overlapping segments for almost the entire exotic genome could be detected. However, each population is derived from 12 BC₁ plants. Cox (1984) has already demonstrated that the additive genetic variance depends on the number of F₁ plants backcrossed in each previous generation rather than on the size of the derived population analysed. He also showed that increasing the number of BC₁F₁ beyond 12 individuals produced little change of additive genetic variance in BC₁F₁-derived lines.

Introgression lines generated without marker-assisted selection are generally based on a large number of BC_1F_1 plants. Lin et al. (1998) constructed a population of backcross-inbred lines in rice from 98 BC_1F_1 . Matus et al. (2003) used 143 BC_1F_1 plants to establish recombinant chromosome substitution lines in barley and employed single-seed descent only from the BC_2F_3 onwards. However, some forms of H. vulgare ssp. spontaneum may have rather open flowers and larger anthers and are thus adapted to a higher rate of outbreeding (Brown et al. 1978). It is thus very likely to sample more than one exotic barley allele per locus if backcross populations are established from more than one F_1 plant. In the polymorphism survey conducted for this study, more than 30% of all markers amplified two or three exotic alleles for the same locus. We thus preferred to use a single F_1 plant for the first backcross and then to employ the maximal number of BC_1F_1 plants obtainable from one F₁ plant.

Phenotypic comparison among selected pre-ILs

Effect of the introgression at 2HS on the trait days until Hea

In order to test for association between a particular exotic segment and a phenotype, S42 and T42 pre-ILs were selected. These carried an introgression on the short arm of chromosome 2H where the major photoperiod response gene Ppd-H1 is located (Fig. 2). The exotic accession ISR42-8 was introgressed into two different elite varieties and evaluated in field trials at four different locations in Germany. We could thus perform a three-factorial ANOVA with the introgression genotype at 2HS (selected pre-ILs, Fig. 2), the genetic background

Table	2	(Contd	.,
-------	---	--------	----

					Name	Chr. ^a	Interval ^b	No. ^c	Size ^d	Percentage ^e	
Name	Chr. ^a	Interval ^b	No. ^c	Size ^d	Percentage ^e						
S42 Dec II a						T42 Pre-ILs	111	1 2	4	41.5	16.6
S42 Pre-ILS	111	1.2	2	17.0	6.6	Thu012-1	іп	1-3	4	41.5	10.0
Sca040-1	іп	1-2	3	17.0	0.0	Thu011-1		1-12	2	83.0	20.5
Sca500-1		2-4	4	19.5	14.0	Thu001-1		2-12	3	78.0	18.0
Sca299-2		2-5	4	38.5	18.0	Thu035-2		3	4	24.5	10.8
Sca332-1		5-10	3	39.0	12.6	Thu016-2	211	/-12	1	43.5	4.4
Sca050-2		6-13	3	64.5	9.1	Thu044-1	2H	16-18	3	37.5	14./
Sca118-2	211	12-15	4	50.0	9.0	Thu0//-1		19-22	1	45.5	5.6
Sca196-1	2H	16-17	3	17.5	6.2	1 hu0/8-1		20-21	1	30.5	3.0
Sca062-2		16-18	5	37.5	13.0	Thu036-2		20-24	1	59.0	5.4
Sca244-1		16-22	1	82.5	/.8	Thu046-1		24-28	3	55.0	8.3
Sca212-1		19	3	19.0	11.8	Thu052-1		26-28	l	26.5	2.7
Sca169-1		19–23	2	60.0	8.5	Thu093-1		28	l	6.5	0.7
Sca204-2		20-23	3	41.0	18.7	Thu084-1	3H	29-30	3	22.5	6.6
Sca175-1		23-25	5	41.5	15.2	Thu070-1		32–39	4	122.5	19.7
Sca285-2		25-28	7	28.5	28.1	Thu086-1		38	4	20.0	17.7
Sca074-1	3H	29-33	4	57.0	18.5	Thu010-1		39–41	6	30.0	14.6
Sca236-2		32–35	5	48.0	13.3	Thu064-1		40–41	3	20.0	3.9
Sca088-1		34–37	3	60.5	8.0	Thu090-1	4H	42–44	1	14.0	1.4
Sca227-1		37	4	22.5	10.2	Thu069-1		42–45	2	23.5	3.7
Sca030-1		37–40	3	62.5	10.4	Thu059-2		44–49	1	68.0	6.9
Sca035-2		38-41	1	47.5	4.4	Thu058-1		45–48	2	40.5	8.6
Sca059-1	4H	42–46	2	35.5	8.7	Thu056-1		45-50	5	84.5	18.0
Sca214-1		45-50	1	82.0	7.5	Thu038-1		50	3	25.0	5.3
Sca213-1		49–59	3	121.5	14.4	Thu039-1		50-59	1	102.5	10.4
Sca220-1		49-50	2	41.5	5.4	Thu034-2		59	4	5.0	10.8
Sca217-1		50	2	22.5	4.6	Thu073-1	5H	60-62	4	33.5	11.1
Sca235-1		51-54	3	31.0	5.5	Thu043-2		60-63	3	64.0	13.8
Sca221-2		55	2	19.0	9.6	Thu088-1		62–68	4	125.5	18.2
Sca161-2		56-59	3	30.0	9.5	Thu085-1		66–68	1	79.5	8.0
Sca101-1		57-59	2	15.0	3.7	Thu045-1		66-70	2	99.5	10.7
Sca277-1	5H	60-66	3	105.5	12.9	Thu048-1		69-70	3	18.5	7.6
Sca034-2		61-64	3	52.5	12.1	Thu092-1	6H	72	2	45.0	6.6
Sca299-2		63-67	4	98.0	18.0	Thu014-1		72–77	3	117.5	16.6
Sca042-1		63-65	2	43.5	8.4	Thu044-1		74–76	3	58.5	14.7
Sca229-1		65-66	3	47.0	8.5	Thu009-1		77–78	1	25.5	2.6
Sca291-1		67-68	3	44.0	8.1	Thu015-1		78	2	2.5	9.2
Sca073-1		68-71	4	33.5	19.7	Thu065-1	7H	83	2	4.5	4.6
Sca161-2		70-71	3	9.0	9.5	Thu034-2		83-86	4	47.5	10.8
Sca017-1	6H	72–78	4	134.0	20.3	Thu022-1		85–98	3	120.5	19.3
Sca312-2		73–77	2	83.5	11.8	Thu020-1		85	1	9.0	0.8
Sca051-2		74–77	2	55.5	6.2	Thu026-R		90–98	2	83.5	12.8
Sca002-1		77–78	3	30.5	15.5	Thu004-1		95–98	2	20.5	6.6
Sca036-1		78-80	2	31.5	6.9	Thu005-1		96-98	2	9.0	6.5
Sca199-1		79-80	2	5.0	3.1	Average T42			2.5	48.3	9.8
Sca245-1	7H	81-83	4	37.0	15.3						
Sca246-1		83	4	17.5	8.1	^a Chromosoma	al location	n of the targ	et intros	gression	
Sca297-2		85-86	5	28.0	9.6	^b SSR marker	interval	(see Table	1 for	numeric	code of SSR
Sca186-1		86-94	2	92.0	9.8	markers)					
Sca169-1		92-95	2	32.5	8.5	^c Total number	r of intro	gressions in	each pre	e-IL	
Sca196-1		95-98	3	20.5	6.2	^d Size of the ta	rget intro	ogression in	centiMo	organs	
Average S42			30	46.0	10.8	^e Percentage of	f exotic 9	ermplasm pe	er pre-II	,	
			5.0	10.0	10.0			-r P	r		

(Scarlett or Thuringia), the environment and the respective interactions as factors. The effect of the introgression genotype at 2HS and the effect of the environment were significant (P < 0.01). The effects of the genetic background and of all possible interactions were not significant. The introgression on chromosome 2HS maintained its effect across the two genetic backgrounds and across the tested environments. Interactions of introgressions and genetic background can be a critical issue when QTL alleles discovered in one genetic background are to be transferred into another

genetic background (Melchinger et al. 1998). Low interactions of introgression and genetic background are advantageous, because these introgressions may then be transferred into a broad range of cultivars and still exhibit the desired effect.

Comparison of pre-ILs carrying an introgression on chromosome 2HS

The mean values for the trait days to Hea of the selected pre-ILs were compared with those of the corresponding control genotypes, Scarlett and Thuringia, using a



Fig. 2 Graphical genotypes for pre-ILs (S42, T42) carrying an exotic introgression on the chromosome arm 2HS. The chromosome 2H linkage map is depicted at the top and marker positions are indicated by *vertical dotted lines*. The assumed location of the *Ppd-H1* gene is indicated *below* the chromosome. CentiMorgan distances between adjacent markers are given. Three SSR markers were only used in the S42 population, and *dotted lines* for these

Dunnett test (Fig. 2). Pre-ILs with an introgression at the marker locus GBM1052, Sca062-2, Sca221-1, Sca244-1, Thu001-1 and Thu044-1, flowered significantly earlier, on average 6 days (S42, T42), than the respective recurrent parents (Fig. 2). However, some of the pre-ILs carrying an introgression only at the adjacent marker MGB391, Sca169-1, Sca212-1 and Thu005-1, also flowered significantly earlier. This allows the assumption that the putative exotic locus, which promotes early flowering, is located within the marker interval GBM1052 and MGB391 on 2H. Since the exact recombination sites within this marker interval cannot be detected, we assume that recombination sites differ between the tested lines. Pre-ILs, which show earlier flowering, carry the introgression at the Ppd-H1 locus in contrast to the pre-ILs, Sca213-1, Thu077-1, Thu078-1 and Thu004-1, which do not show early flowering. In these pre-ILs, the introgression distal to MGB391 might be smaller and therefore not extend to the locus promoting early flowering. In order to determine the position of the putative flowering gene in this interval,

markers do not extend beyond the pre-ILs derived from S42. A *black solid bar* indicates the exotic introgressions. The effect of introgressions on the chromosome arm 2HS on the trait days to heading is given by least square means values next to the pre-IL's code names and significant trait deviations from the respective control, cultivars Scarlett or Thuringia, are indicated with *asterisks* (**P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001)

additional markers should be mapped in this region. Thiel et al. (2003) have collocated the SSR marker GBM1052 with the RFLP marker MWG858, which falls into the bin 4 on chromosome 2H, according to Kleinhofs and Graner (2001). Our findings correspond to results by Hayes et al. (1993), Pan et al. (1994) and Kjaer et al. (1995), who mapped a QTL for heading time at ABG318 in bin 4.

Conclusion

In this study, the generation and constitution of two sets of pre-ILs introgressed with the same exotic donor are described. We have demonstrated that pre-ILs can already serve for the verification of QTLs, i.e. through the analysis of segments carrying candidate genes. The effect of the introgression at a locus known to carry the photoperiod response gene *Ppd-H1* was verified in two genetic backgrounds and in four different environments. Pure introgression lines are currently generated by marker-assisted backcrossing from the pre-ILs presented in this study. An additional set of pre-ILs is currently established using a winter barley cultivar as the recipient and a different exotic accession as the donor. These sets of introgression lines will facilitate the assessment and utilization of exotic barley with the purpose to promote the breeding progress and to enable studies of gene function. The two barley cultivars used here as recipients are leading malting varieties, and derived lines may be the basis for breeding a new cultivar. Additional perspectives for ILs are to use them for locating new DNA markers on the genome, and for fine mapping of genes. Near isogenic lines have been successfully used to perform fine mapping in maize and teosinte (Dorweiler et al. 1993), in tomato (Alpert and Tanksley 1996; Yates et al. 2004) and in rice (Yamanoto et al. 1998; Takeuchi et al. 2003). ILs are a first step towards the map-based cloning of QTLs (Frary et al. 2000, Yano et al. 2000). ILs may also be applied for genetic analyses of transcriptome and proteome variations. Studying differential expression in introgression lines allows the analysis of specific genome segments, which have previously been associated with a particular phenotype. Differences in transcript or proteome profiling of these ILs are directly attributable to the specific introgressed segments.

Acknowledgements We thank Dr. Eberhard Laubach (Nordsaat Saatzucht), Dr. Claus Einfeldt (Saatzucht Dr. J. Ackermann), Josef Breun (Breun Saatzucht Josef Breun GdbR) and their teams for conducting the field experiments. The excellent technical assistance of Merle Noschinski, Carsten Golletz, and the team of our experimental station Dikopshof in Wesseling is appreciated. This work was funded by the German Plant Genome Research Initiative (GABI) of the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF, project 0312278A).

References

- Alpert KB, Tanksley SD (1996) High-resolution mapping and isolation of a yeast artificial chromosome contig containing *fw*2.2: a major fruit weight quantitative trait locus in tomato. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 93:15503–15507
- Becker J, Heun M (1995) Barley microsatellites: allele variation and mapping. Plant Mol Biol 27:835–845
- Bernacchi D, Beck-Bunn D, Emmatty D, Eshed T, Inai S, Lopez Y, Petiard V, Sayama H, Uhlig J, Zamir D, Tanksley S (1998) Advanced backcross QTL analysis of tomato. II. Evaluation of near isogenic lines carrying single-donor introgressions for desirable wild QTL-alleles derived from Lycopersicon hirsutum and L. pimpinellifolium. Theor Appl Genet 97:170–180
- Brown AHD, Zohary D, Nevo E (1978) Outcrossing rates and heterozygosity in natural populations of *Hordeum spontaneum* Koch in Israel. Heredity 41:49–62
- Chetelat RT, Meglic V (2000) Molecular mapping of chromosome segments introgressed from *Solanum lycopersicoides* into cultivated tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*). Theor Appl Genet 100:232–241
- Costa JM, Corey A, Hayes PM, Jobet C, Kleinhofs A, Kopisch-Obusch A, Kramer SF, Kudrna D, Li M, Riera-Lizarazu O, Sato K, Szucs P, Toojinda T, Vales MJ, Wolfe RI (2001) Molecular mapping of the Oregon Wolfe Barleys: a phenotypically polymorphic doubled-haploid population. Theor Appl Genet 103:415–424

- Cox TS (1984) Expectations of means and genetic variances in backcross populations. Theor Appl Genet 68:35–41
- Dorweiler J, Stec A, Kermicle J, Doebley J (1993) Teosinte-glumearchitecture-1-a genetic-locus controlling a key step in maize evolution. Science 262(5131):233–235
- Dunnett CW (1955) A multiple comparisons procedure for comparing several treatments with a control. J Am Stat Assoc 50:1096–1121
- Eshed Y, Zamir D (1994) A genomic library of Lycopersicon pennellii in Lycopersicon esculentum—a tool for fine mapping of genes. Euphytica 79(3):175–179
- Eshed Y, Zamir D (1995) An introgression line population of *Lycopersicon pennellii* in the cultivated tomato enables the identification and fine mapping of yield-associated QTL. Genetics 141: 1147–1162
- Eshed Y, Abu-Abied M, Saranga Y, Zamir D (1992) *Lycopersicon* esculentum lines containing small overlapping introgressions from *L. pennellii*. Theor Appl Genet 83:1027–1034
- Frary A, Doganlar S, Frampton A, Fulton T, Uhlig J, Yates H, Tanksley S (2000) Fine mapping of quantitative trait loci for improved fruit characteristics from *Lycopersicon chmielewskii* chromosome 1. Genome 46(2):235–243
- Fulton TM, Beck-Bunn T, Emmatty D, Eshed Y, Lopez J, Petiard V, Uhlig J, Zamir D, Tanksley SD (1997) QTL analysis of an advanced backcross of *Lycopersicon peruvianum* to the cultivated tomato and comparisons with QTLs found in other wild species. Theor Appl Genet 95:881–894
- Hayes PM, Liu BH, Knapp SJ, Chen F, Jones B, Blake T, Franckowiak J, Rasmusson D, Sorrells M, Ullrich SE, Wesenberg D, Kleinhofs A (1993) Quantitative trait locus effects and environmental interaction in a sample of North American barley germplasm. Theor Appl Genet 87: 392–401
- Islam AKMR, Shephard KW, Sparrow DHB (1981) Isolation and characterization of euplasmic wheat–barley chromosome addition lines. Heredity 46:161–174
- Jeuken MJW, Lindhout P (2004) The development of lettuce backcross inbred lines (BILs) for exploitation of the Lactuca saligna (wild lettuce) germplasm. Theor Appl Genet 109:394– 401
- Kjaer B, Jensen J, Giese H (1995) Quantitative trait loci for heading date and straw characters in barley. Genome 38:1098– 1104
- Kleinhofs A, Graner A (2001) An integrated map of the barley genome. In: Phillips RL, Vasil IK (eds) DNA-based markers in plants. Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp 187–199
- Lander ES, Green P, Abrahamson J, Barlow A, Daly MJ, Lincoln SE, Newburg L (1987) Mapmaker: an interactive computer package for constructing primary genetic linkage maps of experimental and natural populations. Genomics 1:174–181
- Laurie DA, Pratchett N, Bezant JH, Snape JW (1994) Genetic analysis of a photoperiod-response gene on the short arm of chromosome 2 (2H) of *Hordeum vulgare* (barley). Heredity 72:619–627
- Lin SY, Sasaki T, Yano M (1998) Mapping quantitative trait loci controlling seed dormancy and heading date in rice, *Oryza* sativa L., using backcross inbred lines. Theor Appl Genet 96:997–1003
- Liu Z-W, Biyashev RM, Saghai Maroof MA (1996) Development of simple sequence repeat DNA markers and their integration into a barley linkage map. Theor Appl Genet 93:869–876
- Manly KF, Cudmore RH, Meer JM (2001) Map manager QTX, cross-platform software for genetic mapping. Mamm Genome 12(12):930–932
- Matus I, Corey A, Filchkin T, Hayes PM, Vales MI, Kling J, Riera-Lizarazu O, Sato K, Powell W, Waugh R (2003) Development and characterization of recombinant chromosome substitution lines (RCSLs) using *Hordeum vulgare* subsp. *spontaneum* as a source of donor alleles in a *Hordeum vulgare* subsp. *vulgare* background. Genome 46:1010–1023
- Melchinger AE, Utz HF, Schön CC (1998) Quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping using different testers and independent population samples in maize reveals low power of QTL detec-

tion and large bias in estimates of QTL effects. Genetics $149{:}383{-}403$

- Monforte AJ, Tanksley SD (2000) Fine mapping of a quantitative trait locus (QTL) from *Lycopersicon hirsutum* chromosome 1 affecting fruit characteristics and agronomic traits: breaking linkage among QTLs affecting different traits and dissection of heterosis for yield. Theor Appl Genet 100:471–479
- Pan A, Hayes PM, Chen F, Chen THH, Blake T, Wright S, Karsai I, Bedö Z (1994) Genetic analysis of the components of winterhardiness in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). Theor Appl Genet 89:900–910
- Paterson AH, DeVerna JW, Lanini B, Tanksley D (1990) Fine mapping of quantitative trait loci using selected overlapping recombinant chromosomes, in an interspecies cross of tomato. Genetics 124: 735–742
- Pestsova EG, Borner A, Roder MS (2001) Development of a set of *Triticum aestivum– Aegilops tauschii* introgression lines. Hereditas 135(2–3):139–143
- Pillen K, Binder A, Kreuzkam B, Ramsay L, Waugh R, Förster J, Léon J (2000) Mapping new EMBL-derived barley microsatellites and their use in differentiation German barley cultivars. Theor Appl Genet 101:652–660
- Pillen K, Zacharias A, Léon J (2003) Advanced backcross QTL analysis in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). Theor Appl Genet 107:340–352
- Pillen K, Zacharias A, Léon J (2004) Comparative AB-QTL analysis in barley using a single exotic donor of *Hordeum vulgare* ssp. *spontaneum*. Theor Appl Genet 108:1591–1601
- Ramsay L, Macaulay M, degli Ivanissevich S, Maclean K, Cardle L, Fuller J, Edwards KJ, Tuvesson S, Morgante M, Massari A, Maestri E, Marmiroli N, Sjakste T, Ganal M, Powell W, Waugh R (2000) A simple sequence repeat-based linkage map of barley. Genetics 156:1997–2005
- Russell J, Fuller J, Young G, Thomas B, Taramino G, Macaulay M, Waugh R, Powell W (1997) Discriminating between barley genotypes using microsatellite markers. Genome 40:442–450
- Saghai Maroof MA, Soliman KM, Jorgenson RA, Allard RW (1984) Ribosomal DNA spacer-length polymorphism in barley: Mendelian inheritance, chromosomal location and population dynamics. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 81: 8014–8018
- SAS Institute (1999) The SAS system for Windows, release 8.00. SAS Institute, Cary NC, USA

- Struss D, Plieske J (1998) The use of microsatellite markers for detection of genetic diversity in barley populations. Theor Appl Genet 97:308–315
- Takeuchi Y, Lin SY, Sasaki T, Yano M (2003) Fine linkage mapping enables dissection of closely linked quantitative trait loci for seed dormancy and heading in rice. Theor Appl Genet 107:1174–1180
- Tanksley SD, Nelson JC (1996) Advanced backcross QTL analysis: a method of the simultaneous discovery and transfer of valuable QTLs from unadapted germplasm into elite breeding lines. Theor Appl Genet 92: 191–203
- Tanksley SD, Grandillo S, Fulton TM, Zamir D, Eshed Y, Petiard V, Lopez J, Beck-Brunn T (1996) Advanced backcross QTL analysis in a cross between an elite processing line of tomato and its wild relative *L. pimpinellifolium*. Theor Appl Genet 92:213–224
- Thiel T, Michalek W, Varshney RK, Graner A (2003) Exploiting EST databases for the development and characterization of gene-derived SSR-markers in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). Theor Appl Genet 106: 411–422
- Van Berloo R (1999) GGT: software for the display of graphical genotypes. J Hered 90:328–329
- Yamanoto T, Kuboki Y, Lin SY, Sasaki T, Yano M (1998) Fine mapping of quantitative trait loci *Hd-1*, *Hd-2* and *Hd-3*, controlling heading date of rice, as single Mendelian factor. Theor Appl Genet 97:37–44
- Yano M, Katayose Y, Ashikari M, Yamanouchi U, Monna L, Fuse T, Baba T, Yamamoto K, Umehara YY, Nagamura Y, Sasaki T (2000) *Hd1*, a major photoperiod sensitivity quantitative trait locus in rice, is closely related of the *Arabidopsis* flowering time gene CONSTANS. The Plant Cell 12:2473–2483
- Yates HE, Frary A, Doganlar S, Frampton A, Eannetta NT, Uhlig J, Tanskley SD (2004) Comparative fine mapping of fruit quality QTLs on chromosome 4 introgression derived from two wild tomato species. Euphytica 135:283–296
- Young ND, Tanksley SD (1989) RFLP analysis of the size of chromosomal segments retained around the *Tm-2* locus of tomato during backcross breeding. Theor Appl Genet 77:353–359
- Zamir D (2001) Improving plant breeding with exotic genetic libraries. Nat Rev Genet 2:983–989